



Morphological and Radiological Studies on the Skull of the Nile Crocodile (*Crocodylus niloticus*)

Nora A. Shaker, Samah H. El-Bably

Department of Anatomy and Embryology, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Cairo University, Egypt

*Corresponding author: drsamah.elbably@yahoo.com

Abstract

The present study was conducted on six heads of the Nile crocodile (*Crocodylus niloticus*). The heads were removed from their bodies and prepared by hot water maceration technique. The bones of the skull were studied separately and identified by using a specific acrylic color for each bone. The cranium of the crocodile composed of the cranial bones and the facial bones. The crocodile had four paired paranasal sinuses; the antorbital, the vomerine bullar, the pterygopalatine bullar and the pterygoid sinuses. The mandible of crocodile formed from six fused bones (articular, angular, suprangular, coronoid, splenial and dentary). The X ray images were applied for identifying the paranasal sinuses which their contribution to the morphological organization of the head.

Keywords: Anatomy, Radiology, Cranium, Para-nasal sinuses, mandible, Nile crocodile.

Introduction

The development of the vertebrate animals varies with the type of living and their feeding habits. The Nile crocodiles are found in a wide variety of habitat types, including large lakes, rivers, and freshwater swamps. In some areas they extend into brackish or even saltwater environments (Pooley 1982 and Pauwels et al., 2004). Nile crocodiles display an ontogenetic shift in diet, from insects and small aquatic invertebrates when young, to predominantly vertebrate prey among larger crocodiles. It survives in Africa and large individuals eat zebra, large domestic animals and humans. This evolution affects the shape and features of their bones; mainly their heads in order to accommodate the needs of their surroundings (Cott 1961; Wallace and Leslie 2008).

The vertebrate skull was complex structures with multiple evolutionary and developmental sources. There are several modifications in the skeletal apparatus of the large aquatic predators represented in the cranium of the crocodile for investigating the feeding functional morphology (Schwenk, 2000). Crocodylians have large, powerful skulls, many cranial

bones are pneumatised and have gas-filled cavities (fenestrae) connected to Eustachian tubes of the middle ear and the nasal passages. These may equalize pressure in the inner ear and it helps in lightening the weight of the skull and played an important consideration in the cooling system of the brain, buoyancy and feeding (Colbert 1964 and Iordansky 1973).

The aim of the work is investigated the anatomical information on the structure and form of the bones forming the cranium of the Nile crocodile. This study helps in understanding the interpretation of X - ray images and surgical affection of the crocodile heads as well as paves the way to the comparative anatomical and radiological studies.

Materials and Methods

This work was carried out on six heads of the crocodile which collected from Lake Nasser in Egypt. The crocodile was euthanized using a high dose of gallamine triethiodide more than 4mg/kg IM cause




Plate 1. Image of Nile crocodile

death (Loveridge and Blake, 1987). Two heads were used for radiological study by using x-ray with the parameters 53 KV and 5 mAs in the oblique ventrolateral and dorso-ventral positions. The other four heads were prepared and cleaned for the anatomical study.

Manual removal of the skin, tongue, eyes and flesh by using dissecting equipments. The removal of the brain by filling the cranial cavity with water and repeat stirring by using a wire sticks through foramen magnum. The specimens were prepared by boiling or hot water maceration; it was cooking in metal container by using the water-detergent-carbonate solution (Powdered detergent (Tide™) 20cc per 2L water and powdered sodium carbonate 20cc per 2L water) over low heat (Fenton, et al., 2003).

The specimens were checked every 10-15 min, then it was rinsed in running water and the soft tissues were manually removed from it. It was soaked for six days in degreasing solutions (100liter water: 30ml ammonia solution) for disappeared the greasy texture then was thoroughly washed by water. The specimens were soaked in hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂) with a concentration of 3%– 6% for seven to ten days in a sealed plastic container until desired whiteness was obtained, then washing with water to remove any chemical residues (Hildebrand, 1968; Sullivan and Romney, 1999 and Lee Post, 2005).

After the cleaning and bleaching process, the prepared specimens were dried for ten day then several light coats of the plastic spray were applied. The anatomical structures of two skulls were noted and identified of each bone by different acrylic color. Two skulls were cut by using manual bone saw to demonstrate the paranasal sinuses and the entire structures of the skull.

The obtained results were photographed by using digital camera 20 mp, 16x. the **Nomina Anatomica Veterinaria (2005)** was utilized for denominating the anatomical terms in the study.

Results

The bones of the skull of the Nile crocodile were studied separately. Six photos for the cranium in different views (dorsal, ventral, lateral, caudal and sagittal views) to study the anatomical feature of each bone.

The skull was a triangular in shape, it was elongated and compressed dorsoventrally with long, sharp conical teeth of various sizes and had one root where were set in sockets. The cranium composed of the cranial and the facial components.

The cranial bones consisted of the occipital, sphenoid, parietal, frontal and temporal while the facial components formed of the nasal, premaxillary, maxillary, jugal, palatine, pterygoid, vomer and quadrate. The mandible was formed of six fused bones; the dentary, angular, supra-angular, splenial, coronoid and articular bones.

I- Cranium:

A. The cranial components:

Os occipitalis:

The occipital bone constituted the caudal part of the skull. It was composed of a completely fused four bones enclosing a foramen magnum; the supraoccipitalis, basioccipitalis and right, left exoccipitalis.

The supraoccipital (fig. 4A, 5, 6 /1) was a squamous flat bone that formed the dorsal boundary (roof) of the foramen magnum, and showed a well-developed a

single median crest for the attachment of the cervical muscles.




Fig.1: A photograph showing the crocodile skull. (dorsal view)

7. Osparietalis, 8. Osfrontale, 9. Ostemporalis (ossquamosa), 9b. The supratemporal fenestra, 9c. The lateral temporal fenestra, 10a. external nares, 10. Osnasale 11. Ospremaxillare, 12. Osmaxillare, 14. Osjugale, 14a. infraorbital process, 17. Osquadratum, 18. The quadratojugalbones, 19. Oslacrimale, 20. Osprefrontale, 21. Ospostorbitale, 22. The orbit, F8. Incisive foramen,

The basioccipital (fig.4A, 5, 6 /2) was a u-shape, called the basilar part which made the ventral boundary (floor) of the foramen magnum. It had mainly a single spherical shape occipital condyle (fig.4A,4D,5/2a) which articulated with the condyloid fossa of the atlas forming atlanto-occipital joint. It extended rostrally forming the posterior floor of the

cerebral cavity and contacted with the exoccipital dorsally in occipital view. A deep depression located between the basioccipital and basisphenoid bones, which had the opening of the median Eustachian tube (foramen intertympanicum) (Fig. 4B/f1). The openings of lateral Eustachian canals (Fig.4B /f2) was presented caudolateral to the median one.




Fig.2: A photograph showing the crocodile skull.
(ventral view)

13. Palatal process of premaxilla, 13a. Palatine process of maxilla, 13b. Palatine process of palatine, 13c. Palatal fenestrae 13d - missing, 14. Osjugale, 15. The pterygoid body, 15a. The pterygoid wing, 15b. The ectopterygoid, 16a. Choanae, 17. Osquadratum, 18. The quadratojugal bones, F8. incisive foramen,

The exoccipitals (Fig. 4A, 6/3) they were two fused bone, they met at the midline dorsal to the foramen magnum and extended laterally forming the

paraoccipital processes (fig.4A/3a). These bones were sutured with the squamosal bone dorsolaterally and the supraoccipital bone dorsomedially.




Fig.3: A photograph showing the crocodile skull (lateral view).

8. Osfrontale, 9. Ostemporalis (ossquamosa) 9a. otic recess, 10. Osnasale, 11. Ospremaxillare, 12. Osmaxillare, 14. Osjugale, 15a. The pterygoid wing, 15b. The ectopterygoid, 17. Osquadratum, 18. The quadratojugal bones, 20. Osprefrontale, 21. Ospostorbitale, 22. The orbit.

Lateral to the foramen magnum (Fig. 4A, 4D /4), there are four foramina. The ventrolateral foramen represented the external ostium of the osseous cranial carotid canal (Fig. 4D/f3) for the internal carotid passage. The lateral and larger one was the foramen vagus (Fig. 4D/f4) for the passage of the IX, X, and XI nerves and the jugular vein. The medial one was the foramen hypoglossi (Fig. 4D /f5) for the passage of the XII nerve. The dorsolateral foramen was a foramen for facial nerve (VII) (Fig.4D /f6).

parasphenoid appeared to be completely fused. The basisphenoid (fig.4A, 6/5) was located at the rostroventrally to the basioccipital bone. The basisphenoid bone bounded by pterygoids bone rostrally and the basioccipital bone caudally. A deep oval-shaped opening of trigeminal foramen (fig.4C /f7) for the V nerve bounded rostrally by the laterosphenoid (alisphenoid) (fig. 4C/6) and the postorbital bone, caudodorsally by the quadrate bone and ventrally by the pterygoid bone.

Os sphenoidis

The sphenoid bone formed of basisphenoid, parasphenoid and alisphenoid. The basisphenoid and




Fig.4: A photograph showing the crocodile skull (occipital view).

- A.1. OsSupraoccipitalis, 2. Osbasioccipitalis, 2a. Occipital condyle, 3. Osexoccipitalis, 3a. Paraoccipital processes , 4. Foramen magnum, 5. Osbasisphenoidis, 9.Ostemporalis(ossquamosa), 15a. The pterygoid wing, 17. Osquadratum, F9. Foramen aereum
- B. 15a. the pterygoid wing, 16. Vomer bone, 16a. Choanae, 16b. Choanal fenestra,17. Osquadratum, 18. The quadratojugalbones, F1. Median Eustachian tube (foramen intertympanicum) F2. Lateral Eustachian canals, F6. foramen for facial nerve,
- C. 6.Alisphenoid bone, 14. Osjugale, 15a. The pterygoid wing, 15b. The ectopterygoid 17. Osquadratum, 21. Ospostorbitale, F7. Oval foramen (trigeminal foramen),
- D. , 2. Osbasioccipitalis, 2a. Occipital condyle, 4. Foramen magnum, 15a. The pterygoid wing 17. Osquadratum, F3. external ostium of the osseous cranial carotid canal, F4. Foramen vagus, F5. Foramen hypoglossi, F6. Foramen for facial nerve, F9. Foramen aereum




Fig.5: A photograph showing the crocodile skull (sagittal section).

1. OsSupraoccipitalis, 2. Osbasioccipitalis, 2a. occipital condyle, 5a. Rostrum of basisphenoid, 8 . Osfrontale, 10. Osnasale,16. Vomer bone, 16a. choanae , SA. The Antorbital sinus, SB.The vomerinebullar sinuses, SC. The pterygopalatine bullar sinus, SD. the pterygoid sinus.

Os parietalis

The parietal bones (fig. 1, 6 /7) completely fused with the surrounding bones. It formed the medial margin of the supratemporal fenestrae then curved laterally and

became continuous rostrally with the posterior margin of the frontal bone and bounded caudally by the supraoccipital bones caudally and laterally by the squamosal bone.




Fig.6: A photograph showing the crocodile skull (colored bones).

1. OsSupraoccipitalis, , 2. Osbasioccipitalis, 3. Osexoccipitalis, 3a, paraoccipital processes, 5. Osbasisphenoidis 7. Osparietalis, 8. Osfrontale, 9.Ostemporalis (ossquamosa), 10. Osnasale 11. Ospremaxillare, 12. Osmaxillare, 13.Palatal process of premaxilla, 13a. Palatine process of maxilla, 13b. Palatine process of palatine, 14. Osjugale, 14a. infraorbital process, 15. The pterygoid body, 15a. The pterygoid wing, 15b. The ectopterygoid, 17. Osquadratum, 18. The quadratojugalbones, 19. Oslacrimale, 20. Osprefrontale, 21. Ospostorbitale,




Fig.7: A Radiograph showing the paranasal sinuses in crocodile skull. (oblique ventrolateral view)

SA. The Antorbital sinus SB. The vomerebullar sinuses SC. The pterygopalatine bullar sinus

Os frontale

The frontal bone (fig. 1, 6 /8) was a single broad, completely fused bone, laid between the preorbital and the parietal bones. The anterior margins converged rostrally, the lateral edge was concave and the

posterior margin was convex. The frontal formed the anterior portion of the supratemporal fenestra. Posteriorly, the frontal met the parietal along an almost transverse suture, posteroventrally, the frontal extensively contacted with the dorsal portion of the laterosphenoids.




Fig.8: A radiograph showing the crocodile head.

SA. The Antorbital sinus, SB. The vomerebullar sinuses, SC. The pterygopalatine bullar sinus, SD. The pterygoid sinus.

Os temporalis

The squamosal (temporal bone) (fig.1, 6 /9) formed the caudolateral margin of the supratemporal fenestra. The caudoventral edge of the temporal bone curved over the otic recess (fig. 3/9a) and contacted to the quadrate bone. The temporal was sutured to the postorbital bone rostrorodorsally. The skull of the crocodile was known as diapsid or "two arched" reptile. The skull had paired fenestrae, supra and

lateral temporal fenestrae, these fenestrae located at dorsal wall of the skull behind to the large orbits. The supratemporal fenestra (fig.1/9b) spherical in shape bounded by parietal bone caudally, squamosal bone caudolaterally and frontal bone rostrally. The lateral temporal fenestra (fig. 1/9c) was triangular in shape and wider than the supratemporal one, bounded by quadratojugal bone caudally, the squamosal bone medially, the jugal bone laterally and the postorbital bone rostrally.




Fig.9: A radiograph showing the paranasal sinuses in crocodile skull (dorsoventral view)

SA. The Antorbital sinus, SB. The vomerine bullar sinuses, SC. The pterygopalatine bullar sinus SD. the pterygoid sinus.

B. The facial components:

Os nasale

The nasal bone (fig.1,5, 6/10) long and narrow bone, tapered rostrally, but diverged slightly as they entered

the distinctly pear-shaped external nares (fig.1/10a) as the nostrils were at the tip of the snout. It bounded by the prefrontal caudally, lacrimal and maxillary laterally and premaxilla rostrally.




Fig.10: A photograph showing the left mandible of crocodile skull (lateral view).

23. Articular surface, 24. Angular bone, 24a. retroarticular process, 25. Suprangular bone, 26. Dentary F10. External mandibular fenestra F11. Internal mandibular fenestra

Os premaxillare (Incisive bones) or (Intermaxillary bones):

The premaxillary bone (fig.1, 6 /11) was rounded in shape, was extended caudo- dorsally isolating the

external nares from the maxillae. It carries the incisors teeth and incisive foramen (fig.1,2 /f8).




Fig.11: Aphotograph showing the left mandible of crocodile skull (medial view).

23. Articular surface, 24. Angular bone, 24a. retroarticular process, 25. Suprangular bone, 26. Dentary, 27. Splenialbone, 28. Coronoid bone, F10. External mandibular fenestra, F11. Internal mandibular fenestra.

Os maxillare:

The maxillary bone (fig.1,3,6/12) was long and broad, flattened dorsoventrally. It bounded by jugal bone

caudally, lacrimal caudomedially, nasal bone medially and incisive bone rostrally.




Fig.12: Aphotograph showing the color mandible of crocodile skull.

23. Articular surface, 24. Angular bone, 24a. retroarticular process 25. Suprangularbone 26. Dentary 27. Splenialbone, 28. Coronoid bone.

Os palatinum:

The palatine bones were very long bones extended mainly about 2/3 ventral surface of the skull, it consisted of three process; the palatal process of premaxilla, palatine process of maxilla and palatine process of palatine (fig.2, 6 /13,13a,13b respectively).

The paired palatal fenestrae(fig.2/13c) occurred ventral to the orbits and was elongated oval shape, bounded by the palatine process of maxilla rostrally, the palatine process of palatine medially, the ectopterygoid laterally and the pterygoid bone caudally.

The internal nares(fig.2/13d) were prolonged caudally by palatal process of palatine bone and extended to secondary choanae opened within the pterygoid bone.

Os jugale:

The jugal bones or zygomatic bones (fig.1, 2, 3, 6 /14) were long bones, they shared in the formation of the orbit. Each bone extended rostrally connecting the maxilla and lacrimal bone by the infraorbital process (fig.1,6/14a).The orbital margin of the jugal possesses was overlapped by the postorbital process. The lateral ridge at the ventral margin of the jugal bone connected to the ectopterygoid dorsoventrally.

Os pterygoidium:

The pterygoid bones were broad flat, completely fused bones which articulated rostrally to the palatine and caudally with the occipitals bones. It formed part of the caudal margin of the palatine fenestra. It was consisted of body and wing, the body (fig. 2,6/15) was

a broad, transversely concave sheet bearing, unpaired opening along the midline, called the secondary choanae and the wing (fig. 2,4 /15a) extended caudoventrally and overlapped dorsally by ectopterygoid (fig. 2,6 /15b). The dorsal surface of the ectopterygoid is horizontally placed and contacts the jugal bone and maxillary bone.

Vomer

The vomer bone (fig.4B,5/16) was a single bone that divided the secondary choana (fig.2,4B,5/16a) into two cavities or subfossae called choanal fenestra (fig.4B/16b).

Os quadratum

The quadrate bone (fig.1, 2, 3, 4/17) triangular in shape, it laid between the temporal and the quadratojugal bones (fig.1, 2, 3, 6/18). It has a foramen aereum of cranial pneumatic airspaces: siphonium (fig.4A, 4D /f9).

Os lacrimale

The lacrimal bone (1, 6/19) formed the anteriorlateral margin of the orbit. The lateral margin of this bone was sutured to the dorsal region of the infraorbital process of the jugal bone. It contacted with the maxilla and prefrontal bone.

Os prefrontale:

The prefrontals (preorbital) (fig.1, 3, 6 /20) formed the rostromedial margin of the orbit. The medial extension of the prefrontal bone was prevented contact between the frontal and nasal. Its lateral margin was contact to the lacrimal bone.

Os postorbitale:

The postorbital bone (fig.1, 3, 4C, 6 /21) formed the posterolateral margin of the orbit as well as the rostromedial margin of the lateral-temporal fenestrae separating the orbit from this opening.

The orbit:

Seven bones formed the bony wall of the orbit (fig.1/22) the prefrontal, frontal, lacrimal, zygomatic (jugal), postorbital, temporal (squamosal), and sphenoid (basisphenoid and alisphenoid). The rostral wall of orbit formed by the lacrimal bone while its medial wall formed by prefrontal bone and frontal

bones and its lateral wall supported by zygomatic bone.

C. The paranasal sinuses:

The paranasal sinuses (sinus paranasales) were air filled cavities between the external and internal lamina of the bones of the skull which were connected to the nasal cavity. There were a four paired paranasal sinuses; the antorbital, the vomerine bullar, the pterygopalatine bullar and the pterygoid sinuses.

The antorbital sinuses, (fig.5, 7, 8, 9 /SA) were a large sinus, included within the maxillary bone. It had a medial diverticulum presented in the palatal process of the maxilla.

The nasal airway had a long nasopharyngeal duct which formed by the vomer, palatine and pterygoid bones. This duct began from the nostril to the pharynx and opens in the secondary choanae. **The vomerine bullar sinuses, the pterygopalatine bullar sinus, and the pterygoid sinus** aroused from the nasopharyngeal duct.

The vomerine bullar sinuses, (fig. 5,7,8,9/SB) bounded medially by the vomer bone and lateroventral formed by palatal process of palatine bone. **The pterygopalatine bullar sinuses** (fig.5, 7, 8, 9 /SC) were the largest paranasal sinus in crocodile, enclosing within the palatine and the pterygoid bones. **The pterygoid sinuses** (fig. 5, 8, 9 /SD) were located at the pterygoid bone just rostral to the secondary choanae.

II- The mandible:

It formed by the two halves fused together at median mandibular symphysis via dentary bone. Each half of the mandible was composed from six fused bones; articular, angular, supra-angular, coronoid, splenial and dentary.

The articular bone (fig.10, 11, 12 /23) had a two surfaces, concave medial articular surface and large convex lateral surface, both surfaces for articulation with the condyle of the quadrate bone.

The angular and the suprangular bone (fig. 10, 11, 12/24, 25) formed the angle of the jaw. **The dentary bone** (fig. 10, 11, 12/26) formed the body of the lower jaw at its outer surface which beard (14-15) sharp conical lower teeth at its alveolar border while the inner surface of mandible mainly composed by **the splenial and coronoid bones** (fig. 10,11,

12/27,28). The mandible occupied an oval-shaped external and internal mandibular fenestra (fig. 10, 11 /F10, F11).

Discussion

All species of crocodylian were represented by large skull with around 1 m in length, characterized by having an extremely broad, long, and dorsoventrally compressed (duck-faced) rostrum with many small teeth, a slender mandible, tiny mandibular symphysis and a relatively the large infratemporal fenestrae, that similar to that described by **Riff et al., (2010)**.

The occipital bone constituted the caudal part of the skull. It was composed of a completely fused four bones enclosing a foramen magnum. The supraoccipitalis, the basioccipitalis and two right and left exoccipitalis, this finding similar to **Gasparini (1985)** in Crocodylian. Our result similar to **Aguilera et al. (2006)**, mentioned that the supraoccipital bone was flat, strongly concave and the basioccipital was a u-shaped bone, but that incompatible with **Gasparini (1985)** mentioned that the supraoccipital was tall, narrow while the **Witmer et al., (2008)** noted that the basioccipital was a rectangular and **Bona et al., (2013)** added that the basioccipital bone beard marked median crest, came together with occipital tubera for attaching the tendons of basioccipital vertebralis M. and occipitatransversalis profundus M.

The present study reported that only single occipital condyle, which articulated with the condyloid fossa of the atlas, our observation agreement with (**Tahon, 2013; Dyce et al., 2002 and Nickel et al., 1977**) in domestic bird, while (**Maher, 2008 and Prasad, 1969**) in rabbit, **Dyce and Sack (2010)** in domestic animals and **Evans (1993)** in the dog and cat reported two occipital condyles for articulation to the atlas. In accordance with (**Witmer et al., 2008 and Brochu 2004**), a deep depression located between the basioccipital and basisphenoid bones, which had the singular opening of the median Eustachian tube (foramen intertympanicum) and The paired openings of lateral Eustachian canals.

The results applied in this study were in agreement with (**Bona et al., 2013; Sedlmayr, 2002 and Iordansky, 1973**) in Crocodyles, the exoccipitals met at the midline, dorsal to the foramen magnum and extended laterally forming the paraoccipital processes. It was sutured with the squamosals dorsolaterally and the supraoccipital dorsomedially. **Bronchu (1999)**

added the exoccipital processes were long and extended ventrally forming part of the occipital tubera.

Concerning to **Sedlmayr (2002)** and **Romer (1956)**, there were four foramina lateral to the foramen magnum. The ventrolateral foramen was for internal carotid passage. The other three foramina were horizontally aligned. The lateral and larger one was the foramen vagus for the passage of the IX, X, and XI nerves and the jugular vein. The medial one was the foramen hypoglossi for the passage of the XII nerve. The dorsolateral foramen, was a foramen for facial nerve. While (**Grigg and Gans, 1993**) added that a foramen aereum of cranial pneumatic airspaces: siphonium.

The oval foramen for the trigeminal nerve was bounded by the laterosphenoid (rostrally), quadrate (caudo-dorsally), pterygoid (caudo-ventrally) and with the basisphenoid (rostrally-laterally). The same results was described by (**Bona and Desojo, 2011 and Holliday and Witmer, 2007**)

In agreement with, **Witmer et al., (2008), Holliday and Witmer, (2009)** in crocodile, recorded that the parietal bones completely fused with the surrounding bones. They were contact to the frontal bone. This finding similar to **Nickel et al., (1977), Dyce et al., (2002)** and **Tahon (2013)** in domestic bird, while **Dyce and Sack (2010)** in domestic animals and **Evans (1993)** in the dog and cat reported paired parietal bones.

The frontal bone was a single broad, completely fused bone, laid between the preorbital and the parietal bones. Our results were agreement with **Holliday and Witmer, (2009) and Witmer et al., (2008)**, in crocodiles, **Tahon (2013)** in domestic bird. But **Maher (2008)** in rabbit, **Dyce and Sack (2010), Getty (1975)** in domestic animals and **Evans (1993)** in the dog and cat, stated that both frontal bones extended on the dorsal surface of the skull, united to each other by a suture along the mid line.

The present study revealed that the temporal bone was formed of squamous part which constituted the caudo-lateral margin of the supratemporal fenestra. The squamosal bone was tall and long and formed the caudo-dorsal margin of the skull with the supraoccipital bone. This result similar to what was reported by (**Bona and Desojo, 2011, Aguilera et al., 2006 and Iordansky, 1973**).

The crocodile skull was known as diapsid or "two arched" reptiles. In agreement with **Benton and Clark (1988)** asserted that, the skull had paired superior and lateral temporal fenestrae located at lateral wall of the skull behind to the large orbits. The quadratojugal and jugal bones formed the ventral border of lateral fenestrae, while the skull of the mammals characterized by absence of fenestrae that stated by **Dyce and Sack (2010) and Getty (1975)**.

The current study approved that the orbits were wider than supratemporal and lateral temporal fenestrae that disagreement with **Bronchu (1999)** in Crocodylian *Mourasuchus natives* recorded that, the orbits were smaller than the infratemporal fenestrae, and had a reduced supratemporal fenestrae.

Also **Gasparini (1985)** stated that, *M. nativus* differs from *M. amazonensis*, *M. arendsi*, and *M. atopus* by the absence of marked knob at the margin of the orbit, and from *M. atopus* and *M. amazonensis* by the presence of a supratemporal fenestra surrounded rostrally by the postorbital and parietal bones. The skull of *M. nativus* was also characterized by the presence of paired squamosal eminences.

Our results were, therefore, compatible with the view mentioned by **Kempster and Hirst (2002)**, seven bones formed the bony wall of the orbit: the prefrontal, frontal, lacrimal, zygomatic, postorbital, temporal (squamosal), and sphenoid (basisphenoid and alisphenoid).

The large palate was formed by the premaxillary, maxillary and palatine bones, the paired palatal fenestrae occurred ventral to the orbits. The internal nares appeared unpaired along the midline that prolonged caudally by palatal process of palatine bone and extended to secondary choanae opened within the pterygoid bone as had been suggested by **Busbey (1995), Clark and Norell (1992) and Langston (1973)**.

The present study as well as that of **Baumel et al., (1993)** in chicken, revealed that the jugal bones (zygomatic bones) consisted of three fused bones; the jugal processes of maxillary bone, the proper jugal and the quadratojugal bone.

In according with **Aguilera et al. (2006)** in *Mourasuchus*, the presence of enlarged skull with a wide narial aperture surrounded by a large narial fossa and an oval incisive foramen entirely surrounded by the premaxillae, nasal bone.

A more likely interpretation of our findings was supported by **Witmer (1995) and Witmer (1999)**, the nasal airway was very long in crocodylians, owing largely to their extensive secondary palate. The long nasopharyngeal duct formed by the vomer, palatines and pterygoids. Regarding with the paranasal sinuses of crocodile aroused from the nasopharyngeal duct. Our observation and **Witmer and Ridgely (2008)** mentioned that four paired sinuses were present; the antorbital, the vomerine bullar, the pterygopalatine bullar and the pterygoid sinuses but **Witmer (1999)** reported that these sinuses were absent in mammals while **Witmer (1997)** in birds, noted that the antorbital sinus only a single paranasal sinus as crocodylians.

The antorbital sinus (the caviconchal sinus) was enclosed laterally within maxillary bone; like the mammalian maxillary sinus but in large alligators had a medial diverticulum inflating the palatal process of the maxilla, that investigation supported by **Witmer (1995) and Witmer (1997)**.

Crocodylians have a range of other paranasal sinuses arising from the nasal cavity proper, such as, in alligators, the postvestibular sinus that recorded by **Witmer (1995)**. while in this study, the crocodylus niloticus characterized by absence of this sinus.

The present study as well as **Saber, Hassanin (2014), Putterill and Soley (2010) and Douglas (1999)** revealed that the mandible of crocodile formed of six fused bones (articular, angular, suprangular, coronoid, splenial and dentary). **Douglas (1999)** showed a comparison between reptiles and mammals such as reptiles had at least four bones in the lower jaw (articular angular, coronoid and dentary) while mammals had only one bone; the dentary.

References

- [1] **Aguilera O.A., Riff D, Boquentin-Villanueva J. (2006):** A New Giant Purussaurus (Crocodyliformes, Alligatoridae) from The Upper Miocene Urumaco Formation, Venezuela. *J Syst Palaeontol* 4: 221–232.
- [2] **Baumel, J.J., King, A.S., Breazile, J.E., Evans, H.E., Vanden Berge, J.C. (1993):** Nomina Anatomica Avium. Handbook of Avian Anatomy (2nd Edition) Chapter: 4. pp. 45-132.
- [3] **Benton M.J, Clark J.M.,(1988):** Archosaur Phylogeny And The Relationships of The Crocodylia. In: Benton M.J, Editor. The Phylogeny and Classification of Tetrapods. Systematics Association

Special volume no. 35A. Amphibians, reptiles, birds. vol. 1. Clarendon Press; Oxford, UK, 295–338.

[4] **Bona P, Desojo JB. (2011):** Osteology and Cranial Musculature of *Caiman Latirostris* (Crocodylia: Alligatoridae). *J Morphol* **272**:780–795.

[5] **Bona, P., Degrange, F. J. and Fernández, M. S. (2013):** Skull Anatomy of the Bizarre Crocodylian *Mourasuchus* natives (Alligatoridae, Caimaninae). *The Anatomical Record*, **296**(2):227–239

[6] **Brochu CA. (1999):** Phylogenetics, Taxonomy and Historical Biogeography of Alligatoroidea. In: Rowe T, Brochu CA, Kishi K, Editors. Cranial Morphology of Alligator *Mississippiensis* and Phylogeny of Alligatoroidea. *J. Vert. Paleontol. Mem.* **6**. London: Taylor & Francis. pp 9-100.

[7] **Brochu C.A.(2004):** A New Late Cretaceous Gavialoid Crocodylian From Eastern North America and The Phylogenetic Relationships of Thoracosaurus. *J. Vertebr. Paleontol.* ;**24**:610–633.

[8] **Busbey A.B.(1995):** The Structural Consequences of Skull Flattening In Crocodylians. In: Thomason J.J, Editor. Functional Morphology In Vertebrate Paleontology. Cambridge University Press; Cambridge, UK; 173–192.

[9] **Clark J.M, Norell M.A.(1992):** The Early Cretaceous crocodylomorph *Hylaeochampsia vectiana* from the Wealden of the Isle of Wight. *Am. Mus. Novit.* **3032**:1–19.

[10] **Colbert EH. (1946):** The Eustachian tubes in the Crocodylia. *Copeia* **1**: 12–14.

[11] **Cott, H.B.(1961):** Scientific Result of an Inquiry Into The Ecology And Economic Status of The Nile Crocodile (*Crocodylus niloticus*) In Uganda And Northern Rhodesia. *Transaction of the Zoological Society of London*, **29**(4): 211-356.

[12] **Douglas (1999)** Evidences for Macroevolution, Part 1: The Unique Universal Phylo-genic Tree. <http://www.talkorigins.org/faqs/comdesc/section1.htm> l.

[13] **Dyce, K.M., Sack, W.O., and Wensing, C.J.G. (2002)** Text Book of Veterinary Anatomy, 3rd edition, P.P. 815-819. Saunders Company, Philadelphia, London, New York, ST.Louis, Sydney, Toronto.

[14] **Dyce K.M., Sack W.O. and Wensing C. J. G. (2010):** Text book of Veterinary Anatomy, Fourth Edition, Saunders, Elsevier, China.

[15] **Evans (1993):** Miller's Anatomy of the dog, 3rd edition. W.B. Saunders Company. Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

[16] **Fenton, T.W., Birkby, W.H. and Cornelison, J. (2003):** A fast and safe Non-Bleaching Method for forensic skeletal preparation. *J. Forensic Science*, **48**, No.1.Paper.Available at: www.astm.org

[17] **Gasparini Z.(1985):** Un Nuevo Cocodrilo (Eusuchia) Cenozoico De América Del Sur. *MME-DNPM ser Geol Paleontol Estrat* **2**: 51–53.

[18] **Getty (1975),** Sisson, S. And Grossman, J. D.- The Anatomy of Domestic Animals. 5th Edition, W. B. Saunders Co. Philadelphia And London.

[19] **Grigg G. and Gans C. (1993):** *Morphology And Physiology of The Crocodylia*. In: Fauna of Australia, Vol **2A**, chapter 40, Amphibia and reptilia. Canberra:pp 326–336.

[20] **Hildebrand, M. (1968):** Anatomical Preparations. Berkeley and Los Angeles: University of California Press.

[21] **Holliday CM, Witmer LM. (2007):** Archosaur Adductor Chamber Evolution: Integration of Musculoskeletal and Topological Criteria In Jaw Muscle Homology. *J. Morphol* **268**: 457–484.

[22] **Holliday CM, Witmer LM. (2009):** The Epipterygoid of Crocodyliforms and Its Significance For The Evolution of The Orbitotemporal Region of Eusuchians. *J. Vert. Paleontol.* **29**: 715–733.

[23] **Iordansky NN.(1973):** The skull of the Crocodylia. In: Gans C, Parsons TS, editors. Biology of the Reptilia, Vol. **4**. New York: Academic Press. p 201–262.

[24] **Kempster, R.C. and Hirst, L.W. (2002):** Bony Orbital Anatomy of the Koala (*Phascolarctos cinereus*). *The Anatomical record*, **267**: 288-291

[25] **Langston, W. (1973):** The crocodylian skull in historical perspective. In Gans, C and Parsons, T.S.(eds),**4**: 263-284, Biology of reptilian. London: Academic Press

[26] **Lee Post, (2005):** The small mammal manual manuscript A Step by Step Guide to Preparing and Articulating Small Mammal Skeletons Vol. **9**.

[27] **Loveridge JP and Blake D D (1987):** Crocodile Immobilization And Anesthesia. In Webb GJW, Manolis SC, Whitehead PJ, Editors: Wildlife Management: Crocodile And Alligators, Chipping Norton, Australia. Surrey Beatty and Sons Printing In Association With The Conservation Commission of The Northern Territory.

[28] **Maher, M., (2008),** Some Anatomical Studies on the Skeleton of Rabbits. M.V.Sc, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Cairo University

[29] **Nickel, R., Schummer, A., and Seiferle, E. (1977):** Anatomy of Domestic Birds. Pp. 5-25. ISBN 3-489-55418-3 Verlag Paul Parey, Berlin And Hamburg

[30] **Nomina Anatomica Veterinaria 5th ed. (2005):** Published By Committee on Vet. Anat. Nomenclature of The World Association of Vet. Anatomists, Hannover.

- [31] **Pauwels, O.S.G., Branch, W.R. and Burger, M. (2004):** Reptiles of Loango National Park, Ogooue-Maritime Province, South Western Gabon. *Hamadryad* **29**: 115-127.
- [32] **Pooley, A.C.(1982):** Discoveries of A Crocodile Man. London: William Collins, 213pp.
- [33] **Putterill, J.F and Soley,J.T.(2010):** General Morphology of The Oral Cavity of The Nile Crocodile (*Crocodylus niloticus*)(Laurenti,1768). I.Palate and Gingivae. Onderstepoort. *Journal of Veterinary Research*, **70(4)**;281
- [34] **Prasad, 1969) :** A Laboratory Manual of Vertebrate Zoology. Rec Id: 11287720, Allahabad: Pustakayan.
- [35] **Riff D, Romano PSR, Oliveira GR, Aguilera OA. (2010):** Neogene Crocodile and Turtle Fauna In Northern South America. In: Hoorn C,Wesselingh, Editors. *Amazonia, landscape and species evolution*. Oxford: Wiley. p 259–280.
- [36] **Romer AS. (1956):** Osteology of the Reptiles. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- [37] **Saber, A. S. and Hassanin A. (2014):** Some Morphological Studies on The Jaw Joint of The Australian Saltwater Crocodile (*Croco-Dylus Porosus*), *J. Vet. Anat.*; **7 (2)**:55 – 74.
- [38] **Schwenk K. (2000):**Tetrapod Feeding In The Context Of Vertebrate Morphology. In: Feeding Form, Function and Evolution In Tetrapod Vertebrates. Schwenk (Ed.). Acad. Press, Sandiego, CA, USA, pp. 3–20.
- [39] **Sedlmayr JC. (2002):** Anatomy, Evolution, And Functional Significance Of Cephalic Vasculature In Archosauria. Ph.D Dissertation. *Ohio: Ohio University*. p 1–398.
- [40] **Sullivan, L.M. And Romney, C.P. (1999):** Cleaning and Preserving Animal Skulls. The University of Arizona Cooperative Extension. <http://ag.arizona.edu/pubs/natresources/az1144.pdf>
- [41] **Tahon, R. R. (2013):** Some Anatomical Studies on The Skeleton Of Chickens. M.V.Sc, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Cairo University
- [42] **Wallace, K.M., and Leslie, A. J. (2008):** Diet of The Nilecrocodile (*Crocodylus Niloticus*) In The Okavango Delta, Botswana. *Journal of Herpetology* **42**: 361-368.
- [43] **Witmer LM.(1995):** Homology Of Facial Structures In Extant Archosaurs (Birds And Crocodilians), With Special Reference to Paranasal Pneumaticity and Nasal Conchae. *J. Morphol.* **225**: 269–327.
- [44] **Witmer LM. (1997):** The Evolution of The Antorbital Cavity Of Archosaurs: A Study In Soft-Tissue Reconstruction In The Fossil Record With An Analysis of The Function of Pneumaticity. *J. Vert. Paleont. Suppl.* **17**: 1–73.
- [45] **Witmer LM. (1999):** The Phylogenetic History of Paranasal Air Sinuses. In: Koppe T, Nagai H, Alt KW, Editors. The Paranasal Sinuses of Higher Primates: Development, Function and Evolution. *Chicago: Quintessence.* 21–34.
- [46] **Witmer LM, Ridgely RC, Dufeu DL, Semones MC. (2008):** Using CT to Peer Into The Past: 3D Visualization Of The Brain and Ear Regions Of Birds, Crocodiles, and Nonavian Dinosaurs. In: Endo H, Frey R, Editors. *Anatomical imaging: towards a new morphology.* Tokyo: Springer-Verlag. p 67–87.
- [47] **Witmer LM.and Ridgely RC. (2008):** The Paranasal Air Sinuses of Predatoryand Armored Dinosaurs (Archosauria: Theropoda and Ankylosauria) and Their Contribution to Cephalic Structure. *The Anatomical record* **291**:1362–1388.
- [48] **Witmer LM, Ridgely RC. (2009):** New Insights Into The Brain, Braincase, and Ear Region of Tyrannosaurs (Dinosauria, Theropoda), with Implications for Sensory Organization and Behavior. *Anat. Rec.* **292**: 1266–1296.